The Low-Mass, Low-Metallicity Galaxy Frontier



James Bullock (UC Irvine)





Scale bar is 200 pc



M_{star} >~ 10⁷M_{sun}

~complete census in cosmological volumes (e.g. GAMA)

Scale bar is 200 pc





M_{star} >~ 10⁷M_{sun}

~complete census in cosmological volumes (e.g. GAMA)

M_{star} >~ 10⁶M_{sun}

~marginally complete within Local Group







$M_{star} > ~ 10^7 M_{sun}$

~complete census in cosmological volumes (e.g. GAMA)

$M_{star} > ~ 10^6 M_{sun}$

~marginally complete within Local Group

M_{star} <~ 10⁵M_{sun}

Complete only in vicinity of Milky Way/ M31 & selected targets



Most simulated dwarfs have very bursty star formation histories



2014; Read, Agertz & Collins 2016; Munshi et al. 2019;).



(Mashchenko, Wadsley & Couchman 2008; Pontzen & Governato 2012; Madau, Shen & Governato







Flores Velazquez et al. 2021

FUV luminosity can vary by factors of ~5 over 100Myr timescales

The Low-Mass, Low-Metallicity Galaxy Frontier w/ UVEX

Kulkarni et al. 2023



UVEX imaging picks out low-mass, z < 0.3Figure 5. galaxies by providing the crucial UV photometry needed to differentiate the Balmer break for a low-redshift system (blue) from the Lyman break in far more numerous highredshift galaxies (orange).









Low mass galaxies are the most dark-matter dominated galaxies in the universe

Ideal laboratories for the nature of dark matter - current census is in crowded/local group environment









Lazar, JSB et al. 2020

see also Governato+12, Brooks & Zolotov 12, Read+16, etc.







Unknown stellar-mass vs halo mass relation at small scales

UVEX will help settle this relation down to M_{star} ~ 10⁶M_{sun} $M_{\star} \, [\mathrm{M}_{\odot}]$



Very interesting physics at the ~ 10⁶M_{sun} scale

 $M_{\star} \; [\mathrm{M}_{\odot}]$











What is the scatter in the stellar-mass to halo-mass relation at small scales?







Are any $M_{star} \sim 10^7 M_{sun}$ galaxies quenched in the field?



How does satellite quenched fraction vary with mass?





Did JWST Break the Universe?

nature

Accelerated Article Preview

A population of red candidate massive galaxies ~600 Myr after the Big Bang

Ivo Labbé 🖂, Pieter van Dokkum, Erica Nelson, Rachel Bezanson, Katherine A. Suess, Joel Leja, Gabriel

Brammer, Katherine Whitaker, Elijah Mathews, Mauro Stefanon & Bingjie Wang

Nature (2023) Cite this article

91k Accesses | 4225 Altmetric | Metrics

https://doi.org/10.1038/s415

Ancient galaxies, so massive that they break modern cosmology, observed with JWST

"too big to make sense of our current understanding of the universe"



Lower stellar masses would make these detections much easier to understand...



$$\int_{10^{8}}^{10^{8}} \int_{0}^{10^{7}} (M^{0}) [W^{0}] = 0^{10^{7}} \int_{0}^{10^{7}} \int_{0}^{10^{7}} (M^{0}) [W^{0}] = 0^{10^{10}} \int_{0}^{10^{10}} \int_{0}^{10^{10}}$$





"strongly prefer a solution where the SED is dominated by a very young (≈ 4 Myr). stellar population yielding strong nebular line emission" ([OIII]+H β EW) >1500 Å



UVEX will provide a cosmologically-complete sample of galaxies down to the critical $\sim 10^{6}M_{sun}$ stellar mass scale

What is the relationship between stars & dark matter in the most dark-matter dominated systems?

How does reionization affect galaxy formation?

Are any field dwarfs quenched above the mass-scale of reionization quenching?

