Hot stars In the UVEX era

The Tarantula nebula observed with JWST

Ylva Götberg

Carnegie Observatories



NASA Hubble Fellowship Program



Carnegie Science

Observatories





Luminosity (compared to the sun)

Surface Temperature (in degrees)





Luminosity (compared

Ylva Götberg

Surface Temperature (in degrees)

Hot stars

Hot star types:

- •OB stars (normally main-sequence stars)
- •White dwarfs
- Post-AGB stars (central-star planetary nebulae, pre-WD, ...)
- Subdwarfs (result from envelopestripping or merger)
- Wolf-Rayet stars
- Binary stripped helium stars (result from envelope-stripping)





Importance of hot stars

Ionizing radiation

Reionization, IGM heating, star formation, diffuse ionized gas...

Uncertain: the amount and hardness of ionizing emission from hot stars

What stars caused reionization?

Gravitational waves

Detections with LIGO/Virgo/Kagra, LISA and other future instruments

Uncertain: the evolutionary pathways leading to GW emission

What stars result in GWs?

<u>Supernovae</u>

Mechanical feedback, chemical yields

Uncertain: evolution that leads to explosion, how common certain explosions are

Which are the progenitors of SNe?

Ylva Götberg

Chemical yields

Universe's metallicity evolution, stars' metallicity

Uncertain: amount and composition of past, present and future ejecta/wind

How do stars pollute?

Stellar exotica

Stellar populations, metallicity impact

Uncertain: do we understand and have we found all stellar exotica?

How do exotic stars contribute?



Nebular Hell emission — not from the most massive stars?



(Sixtos et al. 2023)



(see also Senchyna et al. 2019, Saxena et al. 2020, 21, Schaerer et al. 2019, Stark et al. 2015...)

Ylva Götberg

While WR stars are the common explanation for nebular Hell emission in distant galaxies, no evidence found from nearby source Only broad wind emission





Peculiar hot star found in Leo A



(see also Gull et al. 2022, Shenar et al. under review, Groh et al. 2008, Klencki et al. 2021)

Ylva Götberg

- Emission line star found in $0.1Z_{\odot}$ galaxy Leo A
- Must be hot: CIV, HeII, NIV...
- Outflow extremely slow: ~400 km/s
- Brightness similar to late O-stars
- Motion of ~100 km/s detected





Optical and infrared colors are almost the same for hot stars — UV makes a distinction

 10^{-8} Hux, F_{λ} [erg s⁻¹ $cm^{-2} Å^{-1}$ at 1 kpc] $_{10-16}$ f_{λ} 10_{-16} f_{λ} 10_{-16} f_{λ} 10^{-18} 10^{2}





Optical and infrared colors are almost the same for hot stars — UV makes a distinction

 10^{-8} Flux, F_{λ} [erg s⁻¹ m⁻² Å⁻¹ at 1 kpc] 10^{-10} for $^{-2}$ Å⁻¹ at 1 kpc] 10^{-18} 10^{2}





Optical and infrared colors are almost the same for hot stars — UV makes a distinction

 10^{-8} Hux, F_{λ} [erg s⁻¹ r_{λ} [r_{α} 3 r_{λ}] at 1 kpc] r_{10-15} r_{λ} r_{10-16} r_{10-16} 10⁻¹² + 10^{-18} 10^{2}





Optical and infrared colors are almost the same for hot stars — UV makes a distinction



Ylva Götberg



Importance of ultraviolet



Mass loss from hot stars

Wind mass loss relevant for BH masses, explosion, X-rays, binary orbital evolution, GW mergers...



Fitting UV spectra reveal most likely wind parameters (Brands et al. 2022)

Paul Crowther's talk

(Crowther et al. 2016, Bestenlehner et al. 2014, 20, Hawcroft et al. 2022, ...)

Carnegie Observatories



Stellar properties from NUV spectrum

Near-UV spectroscopy contains Hell lines useful for property determination (log g, T_{eff}, Y_{surf})





The discovery of 10 subdwarfs orbiting Be stars

With cross-correlation using UV spectra (HST/COS), low-mass stripped stars discovered to orbit rapidly spinning Be stars (Wang et al. 2021)

UV flux contribution from stripped star: ~2-10% $M_{strip} < 1 M_{\odot}$, early Be star (~8-15 M_{\odot})



Ylva Götberg





The discovery of 10 subdwarfs orbiting Be stars

With estimated masses of <1 M_{\odot} , mass transfer *must* have been conservative (early Be companions) (Wang et al. 2021)







Maria Drout



Bethany Ludwig

In collaboration with: J.H. Groh, S.E. de Mink, **B. Hovis-Afflerbach**, N. Smith, **A. Carpenter**, **A. Roc**, **A. O'Grady**, K. Breivik, D. Lang, K. Auchettl, C. Johnston, J. Zhang, P. Senchyna

Credit: NASA/Swift/S. Immler (Goddard) and M. Siegel (Penn State)

An observational survey for stars stripped in binaries PI: Götberg & Drout

Discovery: Drout & Götberg et al., under review
Stellar parameters of stripped stars: Götberg et al., under review

Swift/UVOT maps of the Magellanic Clouds (Siegel+14, 15, 19)



The creation of a stripped star

1. Central hydrogen fusion

2. Envelopestripping

3. Helium coreexposed– a strippedstar





Where are the stripped stars?



Ylva Götberg

Expected number of stripped stars	Observed numb of stripped star
Thousands in the Milky Way	1? (Groh+08, Shenar+23)
Hundreds in the Magellanic Clouds	0
(Götberg et al. 2019 , see also Wu+19, Sana+12)	



Some stripped star systems should have UV excess Götberg et al. (2017, 2018)





Carnegie Observatories

Some stripped star systems should have UV excess Götberg et al. (2017, 2018)









(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)

Carnegie Observatories

Some stripped star systems should have UV excess Götberg et al. (2017, 2018)



 ∇

Carnegie Observatories

(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)





Ylva Götberg



(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)

Carnegie Observatories



Ylva Götberg



(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)



Ylva Götberg



(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)



Ylva Götberg



(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)

Carnegie Observatories



Ylva Götberg

(see also Pols & Marinus 1994, Lepo & Van Kerkwijk 2013)

The importance of careful photometry reduction

Bethany Ludwig

Crowding is an issue: multiple sources interpreted as one in standard reduction routines! Ludwig et al. (in prep.)

Candidate identification

Ylva Götberg

Carnegie Observatories

Candidate identification

Ylva Götberg

Carnegie Observatories

Optical spectroscopic follow-up

Spectroscopic targets: large circles (25 stars)

PI: Götberg & Drout, 2019B-2022B R ~ 4000 Wavelength range ~3700-7000 Å Exposure times ~0.5-1.5 hours SNR ~ 30-120

The Magellan Baade telescope MagE spectrograph

Discovery: Drout & Götberg et al., under review, Science

Spectral morphology

Ylva Götberg

Carnegie Observatories

Discovery: Drout & Götberg et al., under review

Stellar properties from spectral fitting

The stars in the spectroscopic sample have stellar properties that match stripped stars with ~2-8 M_{\odot}

Stellar properties: Götberg et al., under review

Ylva Götberg

Stellar properties from spectral fitting

The stars in the spectroscopic sample have stellar properties that match stripped stars with ~2-8 M_{\odot}

Stellar properties: Götberg et al., under review

Ylva Götberg

Stellar properties from spectral fitting

The stars in the spectroscopic sample have stellar properties that match stripped stars with ~2-8 M_{\odot}

Stellar properties: Götberg et al., under review

Ylva Götberg

Star	T _{eff} [kK]	log ₁₀ g	$X_{ m H, surf}$	X _{He,surf}	$\log_{10} L_{bol}$ [L_{\circ}]	<i>R</i> eff [<i>R</i> ₀]	
						L ~ J	<u> </u>
Star 1	92^{+12}_{-4}	$5.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$0.40^{+0.05}_{-0.10}$	$0.59^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$	$5.09^{+0.13}_{-0.08}$	$1.37^{+0.02}_{-0.12}$	8.
Star 2	64^{+28}_{-2}	$5.0^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$	$0.35^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$	$0.64^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$	$4.13_{-0.08}^{+0.47}$	$0.94_{-0.18}^{+0.01}$	3.
Star 3	72^{+54}_{-8}	$5.4^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	$0.10^{+0.25}_{-0.09}$	$0.89^{+0.09}_{-0.25}$	$4.15^{+0.66}_{-0.18}$	$0.77^{+0.04}_{-0.23}$	3.
Star 4	68^{+52}_{-12}	$5.1^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	$0.30\substack{+0.30 \\ -0.20}$	$0.69^{+0.20}_{-0.30}$	$4.00^{+0.67}_{-0.26}$	$0.72\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.22}$	3.
	. 10	. 0.2	. 0. 00	. 0. 00	.0.22	. 0.00	
Star 5	68^{+18}_{-6}	$4.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$0.01^{+0.00}_{-0.00}$	$0.98^{+0.00}_{-0.00}$	$4.34_{-0.17}^{+0.33}$	$1.06^{+0.06}_{-0.15}$	4.
Star 6	74^{+14}_{-16}	$5.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$0.35^{+0.20}_{-0.15}$	$0.64^{+0.15}_{-0.20}$	$4.24_{-0.34}^{+0.24}$	$0.80^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	3.
Star 7	62^{+10}_{-8}	$4.8^{+1.2}_{-0.5}$	$0.01^{+0.34}_{-0.00}$	$0.98^{+0.00}_{-0.34}$	$3.95^{+0.23}_{-0.22}$	$0.81^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	2.
Star 8	58^{+24}_{-15}	$5.0^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$	$0.05^{+0.40}_{-0.04}$	$0.94^{+0.04}_{-0.40}$	$3.55_{-0.41}^{+0.49}$	$0.59^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	2.
Star 16	34^{+4}_{-3}	$4.2^{+0.6}_{-0.2}$	$0.35^{+0.25}_{-0.15}$	$0.64^{+0.15}_{-0.25}$	$3.20^{+0.17}_{-0.14}$	$1.15^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	1.
a b c d	z + 4	+03	0.04 ± 0.19	0.00+0.00	4 4 4 + 0 11	4 4 4 4 0 08	
Star 26^a	52_{-6}^{+4}	$5.7^{+0.3}_{-0.7}$	$0.01_{-0.00}^{+0.19}$	$0.98_{-0.19}^{+0.00}$	$4.14_{-0.18}^{+0.11}$	$1.44_{-0.05}^{+0.08}$	3.
Star 26^b	52^{+4}_{-6}	$5.7^{+0.3}_{-0.7}$	$0.01^{+0.19}_{-0.00}$	$0.98^{+0.00}_{-0.19}$	$2.74^{+0.10}_{-0.17}$	$0.29^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	1.

H-poor (IIb) or H-free (Ib) supernovae

There are stripped stars sufficiently massive to

explode ($M_{init} > 8 M_{\odot}$, $M_{He} > 2.5 M_{\odot}$)

The future with UVEX

Eclipsing binaries

Stripped star binaries with higher mass companions detectable using eclipses!

Eclipsing binaries

Neutron star companions recognizable with ellipsoidal variations and Doppler beaming.

Eclipsing binaries

Neutron star companions recognizable with ellipsoidal variations and Doppler beaming.

Ylva Götberg

Deeper imaging

Ylva Götberg

(LMC) Apparent magnitude

Understanding wind mass loss from hot, helium-rich stars

Order-of-magnitude increase in UV spectra! ~100 stripped stars & ~1000 OB stars

Understanding wind mass loss from hot, helium-rich stars

Order-of-magnitude increase in UV spectra! ~100 stripped stars & ~1000 OB stars

Summary: Hot stars

- Understanding hot stars is important for understanding ionizing radiation, supernovae and gravitational waves
- UV is a necessary wavelength range for tracing binary products, stellar winds, stellar properties...
- UV imaging is useful for distinguishing different types of hot stars, and for variability of hot stars (eclipsing binaries)
- UV spectroscopy is important for stellar winds, surface composition, stellar properties...
- UVEX will access: 1) the whole mass range of stripped stars, 2) stripped stars with higher mass companions, 3) understanding wind mass loss from hot stars

Backup

Peculiar hot star found in Leo A

- Emission line star found in 0.1Z_☉ galaxy Leo A
- Must be hot: CIV, HeII, NIV...
- Outflow extremely slow: ~400 km/s
- Brightness similar to XXX
- Motion of ~100 km/s detected

